## **FISCAL NOTE**

Bill #:	:	HB0043	Title:	Revis	se asbest	os control act	
Prima	ary Sponsor:	Cohenour, J	Statu	ı <b>s:</b> As In	troduced	i	
Sponsor signature		Date	Chuck Swysgood, Budget Directo		d, Budget Director	Date	
Fiscal Summary				FY 200		FY 2005	
Expenditures:				<u>D</u>	<u>Difference</u> \$0		Difference \$0
Revenue:					\$0		\$0
Net Impact on General Fund Balance:					\$0		\$0
	Significant L	ocal Gov. Impact				Technical Concern	ns
	Included in t	he Executive Budget				Significant Long-	Term Impacts
	Dedicated Re	evenue Form Attached				Needs to be inclu-	ded in HB 2

## **Fiscal Analysis**

## **ASSUMPTIONS:**

- 1. Repeal of the requirement in 75-2-504(2), MCA, that fees for facility permits reflect actual cost will have no impact on overall revenue. Fees based on actual costs can be computed only at the end of the permit year. This is unacceptable to asbestos permittees, and the Department of Environmental Quality has complied with their request that the fees be estimated at the beginning of the year. This bill therefore would not change existing practice, but rather will change the law to meet existing practice.
- 2. The amendment to 75-2-503(1)(k)(iii), MCA, expands the activities that must be funded by project permits fees to include permit administration. Since current fees in the aggregate (permits, annual permits, accreditations and course reviews) are currently commensurate with costs of administering the entire program there will be no increase in total revenue caused by this amendment. The department monitors costs for each of the fee-funded activities and will adjust each fee as necessary to remain commensurate with costs. If the department determines that fees for project permits are not commensurate with cost for issuance and administration of permits, the project permit fee will be increased or decreased as appropriate and the other fees will also be adjusted as appropriate to maintain current revenues.